

DECISION-MAKER:	HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD		
SUBJECT:	DRUGS STRATEGY 2017/2020		
DATE OF DECISION:	28 JUNE 2017		
REPORT OF:	DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH		
<u>CONTACT DETAILS</u>			
AUTHOR:	Name:	Jason Horsley	Tel: 023 8083 2028
	E-mail:	Jason.Horsley@southampton.gov.uk	
Director	Name:	Jason Horsley	Tel: 023 8083 2028
	E-mail:	Jason.Horsley@southampton.gov.uk	

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY	
None	
BRIEF SUMMARY	
The purpose of this paper is to update the Board on the Drugs Strategy which was presented to the Safe City Partnership for approval on 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2017.	
RECOMMENDATIONS:	
(i)	That the Committee notes the progress made in agreeing the draft Southampton Drugs Strategy 2017-20 to date along with proposals for implementation.
REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS	
1.	For information.
ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED	
2.	None.
DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)	
3.	The <i>Drugs Strategy for 2017-20</i> went to the Safe City Partnership on 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2017 for approval. It is now being brought to the Health & Wellbeing Board for information.
4.	The strategy has been led by Hampshire Constabulary, working closely with a range of stakeholders. The strategy is based on a detailed review of health and crime data and extensive stakeholder engagement.
5.	This engagement with stakeholders and partners included two multi-agency workshops. The workshops were attended by approximately 20 people from agencies including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hampshire Constabulary</li> <li>• Southampton City Council departments (Public Health, Integrated Commissioning Unit, Community Safety, Housing)</li> <li>• Crown Prosecution Service</li> <li>• Youth Offending Service</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Society of St James</li> <li>• Southampton Independent Advisory Group</li> </ul>
6.	A service user survey is underway and will inform the implementation of the strategy.
7.	The engagement with stakeholders identified much good work already in place in the city and real opportunities to deliver even better services by working more collaboratively.
8.	The proposed strategy has 3 priorities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Engagement and raising awareness;</li> <li>• Prevention and treatment;and</li> <li>• Crime disruption and antisocial behaviour.</li> </ul>
9.	The strategy was presented to the Safe City Partnership together with a proposal for how the implementation will be led. Specifically that Public Health will lead the first two priorities which will be overseen through a new strategy implementation group. It will meet for the first time in early September and agree the terms of reference and the detailed work programme.
10.	The Police will lead the third priority, “crime disruption and antisocial behaviour” using existing forums: Tactical Planning Meeting plus (TPM+); Operation Fortify, for people vulnerable to being exploited by drug dealers; and Operation Heavy, which targets drug dealers.
11.	The overall strategy will continue to be led by the police. Priority leads will work closely together.
12.	The strategy was presented to the Safe City Partnership with a proposal to assure the Partnership of progress annually. Assurance is likely to be scheduled as part of the Safe City needs assessment in November each year.
13.	The governance arrangements for the drugs and strategy is flexible. The drugs strategy is overseen by the Safe City Partnership, but information will also be shared with the Health and Wellbeing Board.
14.	Agencies across Southampton are continuing to deliver drugs and alcohol services throughout this time. Action is ongoing while implementation of the strategy begins in earnest over the summer.
<b>RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS</b>	
<u>Capital/Revenue</u>	
15.	The recommendations are based within existing work programmes. As such they are not considered likely to initially present any additional financial commitments.
<u>Property/Other</u>	
16.	None
<b>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</b>	
<u>Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report:</u>	
17.	Not applicable

<u>Other Legal Implications:</u>	
18.	None
RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS	
19.	None
POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS	
20.	The outcome of the scrutiny review will contribute to the following priority within the Southampton City Council Strategy 2016-2020: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• People in Southampton live safe, healthy, independent lives</li> </ul>

KEY DECISION?	No
WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:	All
<u>SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION</u>	
Appendices	
1.	Southampton Drugs Strategy - Draft, 2017-20

Documents In Members' Rooms

1.	None
Equality Impact Assessment	
Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality and Safety Impact Assessment (ESIA) to be carried out.	No
Privacy Impact Assessment	
Do the implications/subject of the report require a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) to be carried out.	No
Other Background Documents	
Other Background documents available for inspection at:	
Title of Background Paper(s)	Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)
1.	None
2.	